

GGN: 4049929648463

Registration number of producer/ producer group (from CB):

GLOBALG.A.P. RISK ASSESSMENT ON SOCIAL PRACTICE (GRASP)

PROOF OF ASSESSMENT

According to

GRASP General Rules V1.3 July 2015

Option 1

Issued to
Producer DanRoots A/S
TANGE SØVEJ 42, 8550 BJERRINGBRO, Denmark

The Annex contains details of the GRASP results.

The Certification Body Baltic Control Certification A/S declares that the producer group mentioned on this proof has been assessed according to the GLOBALG.A.P. Risk Assessment on Social Practice Version 1.3 July 2015.

GLOBALG.A.P. RISK ASSESSMENT ON SOCIAL PRACTICE (GRASP) - PROOF OF ASSESSMENT

Overall assessment result: Fully compliant GGN: 4049929648463

Assessment result in detail:

Control Point 1 Fully compliant Control Point 2 Fully compliant Fully compliant Control Point 3 Control Point 4 Fully compliant Control Point 5 Fully compliant Control Point 6 Fully compliant Fully compliant Control Point 7 Fully compliant Control Point 8 Not applicable Control Point 9 Fully compliant Control Point 10 Control Point 11 Fully compliant

Date of Assessment: 12-05-2020

Date of Upload: 10-07-2020

Validity: 20-06-2020 - 19-06-2021 (depending on GLOBALG.A.P. certificate validity)

The actual status of this proof is always displayed at: https://database.globalgap.org



GLOBALG.A.P. RISK ASSESSMENT ON SOCIAL PRACTICE

GRASP Checklist - Version 1.3

Checklist Individual Producer (Option 1)

Valid from: 1 July 2015

Mandatory from: 1 October 2015



1. CERTIFICATE HOLDER REGISTRATIO	ON DATA							
Producer GGN/GLN:*	4049929648463		Registration N°	:				
Company name:*	DanRoots A/S		Address:*		Tange Søv	ej 42, 8850	Bjerringbro	
Telephone:*	23689586							
Email:	pve@danroots.com		Fax:					
Assessment date:*	12/05/2020		Contact person	1:*	Peter Veste	ergaard		
Previous assessment date(s):								
Does the producer have any other external audi	its or certification covering social	practices? If yes	s, which?				,	
Standard 1:	Standard 2:		Standard 3:		Standard 4	:		
Valid to:	Valid to:	alid to:			Valid to:			
	•		•					
Has the Certification Body detected any significa	ant breach of legal requirement of	concerning labor	conditions?			YES	Y	NO
Has the Certification Body reported this finding t	to the local/national responsible a	and competent a	uthority?			YES	S	NO
Comments:								
Company description: A large and top-profession	onal producerer of vegetables							
Did the management sign a self-declaration say	ring that if there were employees	GRASP would b	e implemented?			YES	Y	NO
* Mandatory field							1	

Are prod	uce handling	(PH) faci	lities included in the GRASP assessment?	Y	YES	☐ NO		
	Is produce	handling	sub-contracted?		YES	☑ NO		
	Does the pr	roduce ha	andling facility(ies) have any social standards implemented?		YES	☑ NO	If yes, which?	
				If yes:	Name of	the PH company:		
					GGN/GLI	N of the PH compa	ny (if applicable):	
Name ar	nd location of	the asse	ssed PH Facilities:					
PH Facil	ity 1			PH Facili	ty 4			
PH Facil	ity 2			PH Facili	ty 5			
PH Facil	ity 3			PH Facili	ty 6			
Does the	company su	ıbcontrac	t any other activities?	Y	YES	□ NO		
If yes, wi	hich one?			Are the s	ubcontrac	ted activities include	ed in the GRASP assessment?	
		☑	Pest and rodent control		YES	☑ NO		
			Crop protection		YES	□ NO		
			Harvest		YES	□ NO		
			Others (please specify): None		YES	□ NO		

2. STRUCTURE OF EMPLOYMENT										
Month(s) of peak season (if applicable):	September - April in product handling, in field all year % of employees living in accommodation provided by the company (if applicable):			30						
Nationalities of employees	Danish, Lithuanian, Rumanian, Bulgarian									
Total number of employees	Local			Cross-Border	Migrants		National Migra	nts		
	Permanent	Temporary	Agency	Permanent	Temporary	Agency	Permanent	Temporary	Agency	
in agricultural production	38	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	40
in product handling facility(ies)	2	0	0	58	0	0	0	0	0	60
Total	40	0	0	60	0	0	0	0	0	100

3. PRESENCE DURING THE ASSESSMENT								
	SITE MANAGEMENT		PERSON RESPONSIBI		EMPLOYEES' REPRESENTATIVE			
Names1:	Peter Vestergaard		Torben Nielsen		Jurgita Sniureviciute			
Present at the opening meeting?	✓ YES	□ NO	✓ YES	□ NO	✓ YES	□ NO		
Present at the assessment?	✓ YES	□ NO	✓ YES	□ NO	✓ YES	□ NO		
Present at the closing meeting?	✓ YES	□ NO	✓ YES	□ NO	✓ YES	□ NO		
OVERALL ASSESSMENT RESULT:	(Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)			Fully compliant				
Assessment results reviewed with company management?	₩ YES	□ NO						
Name of certification body:	Baltic Control Certificati	ion	Duration of the assessn	nent:	1 hour			
Name of assessor:	Morten Sejersen							
Name of company management:	Peter Vestergaard							
¹ Only mention the names if the persons have agreed to rele	I ase there personal data to be up	loaded with the checklist to the	B GLOBALG.A.P. Database.					

GRASP CHECKLIST

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	CC	OMPLIANC	CE	
			Υ	N	N/A	
EMPLO	YEES' REPRESENTATIVE(S)					
1	CP: Is there at least one employee or an employees' council to represent the interests of the staff to the management throu	gh regular meetings where labor is	ssues are	addressed	1 ?	
	CC: Documentation demonstrates that an employees' representative(s) or an employees' council representing the interests exceptional cases nominated by all employees and recognized by the management. The election or nomination takes place communicated to all employees. The employees' representative(s) shall be aware of his/her/their role and rights and be abl management. Meetings between employees' representative(s) and the management occur at accurate frequency. The dialoute company employs less than 5 employees.	e in the ongoing year or production e to discuss complaints and sugge	period an estions wit	nd is th the	d. N/A if	
1.1	The election/nomination procedure has been defined and communicated to all employees.		Х			
1.2	Documentation shows that the election and the counting of votes were carried out fairly and openly. In case of representative(s) not elected but nominated, there is a document justifying why elections could not take place.		х			
1.3	The results of the election (name of employees' representative(s) or in case of council composition of the council) were communicated to all employees.		Х			
1.4	The election/nomination has taken place in the ongoing year or production period. The representation is current (all elected/nominated person(s) according to the list still working for the company).		Х			
	The employees' representative(s) is/are recognized by the management and a job description clearly defines his/her/their role and rights. The employees' representative(s) is/are aware of his/her/their role and rights (in case of an employees' council, all members are interviewed).		х			
1.6	There is documentary evidence of regular meetings at accurate frequency between the employees' representative(s) and the management, where GRASP related issues are addressed.		Х			
COMPL	LIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 1: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)		Fu	Fully compliant		
	ce/Remarks: Jurgita elected by Torben. Communicated to alle employyes, shown on Facebook. Meetings in safety and hygie meeting.	ne group four times per year, GRA	ASP is disc	cussed eve	эry	
Correcti	ive Actions: None					

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	CC	OMPLIAN	CE
			Y	N	N/A
COMF	PLAINT PROCEDURE				
2	CP: Is there a complaint and suggestion procedure available and implemented in the company through which employees ca	an make a complaint or suggestion	1?		
	CC: A complaint and suggestion procedure appropriate to the size of the company exists. The employees are regularly info made without being penalized and are discussed in meetings between the employees' representative(s) and the management complaints and suggestions and take corrective actions. Complaints, suggestions and their follow-up from the last 24 months	ent. The procedure specifies a time			can be
2.1	A documented complaint and suggestion procedure is available, appropriate to the size of the company.		Х		
2.2	Employees are regularly and actively informed about the complaint and suggestion procedure.		Х		
2.3	The procedure states clearly that employees will not be penalized for filing complaints or suggestions.		Х		
2.4	Complaints and suggestions are discussed in meetings between the employees' representative(s) and the management.	-	Х		
2.5	The procedure sets a timeframe to resolve complaints and suggestions (e.g. during the next month).		Х		
2.6	The complaints, suggestions and their follow-up are documented and available for the last 24 months.				Х
COMF	PLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 2: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)		Fu	lly complia	ant
	nce/Remarks: No suggestions in the "ris and ros" suggestions box. (See photo). Issues are solved immidiately. Examples: If sa unication between colleagues in the field is unsatisfactory, the problem is discussed and solved.	afety boots are worn out, new ones	are provi	ded same	day. If

Corrective Actions: None

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	CC	OMPLIANO	CE
			Y	N	N/A
SELF-	DECLARATION ON GOOD SOCIAL PRACTICES				
3	CP: Has a self-declaration on good social practice regarding human rights been signed by the management and the employees?	yees' representative(s) and has thi	s been co	mmunicat	ed to
	CC: The management and the employees' representative(s) have signed, displayed and put in practice a self-declaration as employees. This declaration contains at least the commitment to the ILO core labor conventions (ILO Conventions: 111 on 29 and 105 on forced labor, 87 on freedom of association, 98 on the right to organize and collective bargaining, 100 on equ and non-discriminative hiring procedures and the complaint procedure. The self-declaration states that the employees' representative(s) have signed, displayed and put in practice a self-declaration as 29 and 105 on forced labor, 87 on freedom of association, 98 on the right to organize and collective bargaining, 100 on equ and non-discriminative hiring procedures and the complaint procedure. The self-declaration states that the employees' representative(s) have signed, displayed and put in practice a self-declaration as 29 and 105 on forced labor, 87 on freedom of association, 98 on the right to organize and collective bargaining, 100 on equ and non-discriminative hiring procedures and the complaint procedure. The self-declaration states that the employees' representative(s) have signed and put in practice a self-declaration as 29 and 105 on forced labor, 87 on freedom of association, 98 on the right to organize and collective bargaining, 100 on equ and non-discriminative hiring procedures and the complaint procedure.	discrimination, 138 and 182 on min al remuneration and 99 on minimuresentative(s) can file complaints w	nimum age ım wage) a	e and child and transp	parent
3.1	The declaration is complete and contains at least all points referred to ILO core labor conventions.		Х		
3.2	The declaration has been signed by the management and by the employees' representative(s).		Х		
3.3	The declaration is actively communicated to the employees (e.g. displayed on the production site/in the handling unit/management office or attached to the working contract, information at meetings etc.).		Х		
3.4	The management, the responsible person for the implementation of GRASP and the employees' representative(s) know the content of the declaration and confirm that it is put into practice.	å 🏝 Å	Х		
3.5	It is stated that the employees' representative(s) can file complaints without personal sanctions.		Х		
3.6	The declaration is checked and revised at least every 3 years or whenever necessary.		Х		
COMP	PLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 3: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)		Fully compliant		
Eviden	nce/Remarks: Signed 23 April 2019				
Correc	ctive Actions: None				

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	CC	OMPLIANO	CE
			Υ	N	N/A
ACCE	ESS TO NATIONAL LABOUR REGULATIONS				
4	CP: Do the person responsible for the implementation of GRASP (RGSP) and the employees' representative(s) have know	ledge of or access to recent nation	al labor re	gulations	?
	CC: The person responsible for the implementation of GRASP (RGSP) and the employees' representative(s) have knowled minimum wages, working hours, trade union membership, anti-discrimination, child labor, labor contracts, holiday and mate representative(s) know the essential points of working conditions in agriculture as formulated in the applicable GRASP National Conditions in agriculture as formulated in the applicable GRASP National Conditions in agriculture as formulated in the applicable GRASP National Conditions in agriculture as formulated in the applicable GRASP National Conditions in agriculture as formulated in the applicable GRASP National Conditions in agriculture as formulated in the applicable GRASP National Conditions in agriculture as formulated in the applicable GRASP National Conditions in agriculture as formulated in the applicable GRASP National Conditions in agriculture as formulated in the applicable GRASP National Conditions in agriculture as formulated in the applicable GRASP National Conditions in agriculture as formulated in the applicable GRASP National Conditions in agriculture as formulated in the applicable GRASP National Conditions in agriculture as formulated in the applicable GRASP National Conditions in agriculture as formulated in the agriculture as formulated in th	rnity leave. Both the RGSP and the			and
4.1	The RGSP provides the employees' representative(s) with the valid labor regulations (e.g. the GRASP National Interpretation Guidelines).		Х		
4.2	RGSP and the employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on gross and minimum wages and deductions from wages.		Х		
4.3	RGSP and the employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on working hours.		Х		
4.4	RGSP and the employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on freedom of association and right to collective bargaining.		Х		
4.5	RGSP and the employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on anti-discrimination.		Х		
4.6	RGSP and the employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on child labor and minimum age of working.		Х		
4.7	RGSP and the employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on holiday and maternity leave.		Х		
COMI	PLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 4: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)		Fu	ılly complia	ant
Evide	nce/Remarks: Available on the internet				
Corre	ctive Actions: None				

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	CC	OMPLIAN	CE
			Υ	N	N/A
WORK	KING CONTRACTS				
5	CP: Can valid copies of working contracts be shown for the employees? Are the working contracts compliant with applicable they indicate at least full names, nationality, a job description, date of birth, date of entry, the regular working time, wage an the employee and the employer?	e legislation and/or collective barga d the period of employment? Have	aining agreet they bee	eements a n signed l	and do by both
	CC: For every employee, a contract can be shown to the assessor on request on a sample basis. The contracts correspond agreements. Both the employees as well as the employer have signed them. Records contain at least full names, nationality working time, wage and the period of employment (e.g. permanent, period or day laborer etc.) and for non-national employer not show any contradiction to the self-declaration on good social practices. Records of the employees must be accessible for	y, job description, date of birth, da ees their legal status and working	e of entry	, the regu	lar
5.1	Random checks show availability of written contracts for all employees signed by both parties.		Х		
5.2	There is evidence that the employees have the correct contract according to national legislation and/or collective bargaining agreements (as stipulated in the applicable GRASP National Interpretation Guideline).		Х		
5.3	The working contracts include at least basic information on the employee's name, date of birth and nationality according to the applicable GRASP National Interpretation Guideline.		Х		
5.4	The working contracts or attachments to the contracts include basic information on the contract period (e.g. permanent, period or day laborer etc.), the wage, working hours, breaks, and a basic job description.		Х		
5.5	In the contract, there is no contradiction to the self-declaration on good social practice.		Х		
5.6	If non-national employees are working for the company, records indicate their legal status for being employed by the company. A respective working permit is available.		Х		
5.7	Records of the employees must be accessible for at least 24 months.		х		
COMP	PLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 5: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)		Fu	lly compli	ant
Eviden	nce/Remarks: Seen contracts for Bjarke nn and Octavian nn				
Correc	tive Actions: None				

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	CC	MPLIAN	CE	
			Υ	N	N/A	
PAYS	LIPS					
6	CP: Is there documented evidence indicating regular payment of salaries corresponding to the contract clause?					
	CC: The employer shows adequate documentation of the regular salary transfer (e.g. employee's signature on pay slip, bar register that make the payment transparent and comprehensible for them. Regular payment of the employees during the later		ceive copie	es of pay s	slips/pay	
6.1	Documented evidence that the payment is made in defined intervals (e.g. pay slips or pay registers) is available for the employees (random checks).		Х			
6.2	Pay slips or pay registers indicate that payments are made in accordance with the working contracts (e.g. employee's signature on pay slips, bank transfer etc.).		Х			
6.3	The records of payments are kept for at least 24 months.		Х			
COMF	PLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 6: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)		Fu	Fully compliant		
Evider	nce/Remarks: OK, no mention of overtime payment		-			
Correc	ctive Actions: None					

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	C		CE
			Υ	N	N/A
WAGE	S				
7	CP: Do pay slips/pay registers indicate the conformity of payment with at least legal regulations and/or collective bargaining	agreements?			
	CC: Wages and overtime payment documented on the pay slips/pay registers indicate compliance with legal regulations (m specified in the GRASP National Interpretation Guideline. If payment is calculated per unit, employees shall be able to gain working hours.				
7.1	Pay slips or pay registers give clear indication on the number of compensated working time or harvested amount including overtime (hours/days).		Х		
7.2	Wages and overtime payments as shown in the records are according to the contracts and indicate compliance with national labor regulations (minimum wages), and/or collective bargaining agreements as specified in the GRASP National Interpretation Guideline.		х		
7.3	Independently from the calculation unit, pay slips/pay registers document that employees gain in average at least the legal minimum wage within regular working times (especially check when piece-rate is implemented). If there are deductions from salaries and employees are being paid below minimum wage, the deductions must be justified in writing.		X		
COMPI	LIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 7: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)		Fu	lly compli	ant
Eviden	ce/Remarks: OK, no mention of overtime payment			-	-
Correct	ive Actions: None				

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE						
			Y	N	N/A				
NON-	EMPLOYMENT OF MINORS								
8	CP: Do records indicate that no minors are employed at the company?								
	CC: Records indicate compliance with national legislation regarding minimum age of employment. If not covered by national legislation, children below the age of 15 are not employed. If children—as core family members—are working at the company, they are not engaged in work that is dangerous to their health and safety, jeopardizes their development, or prevents them from finishing their compulsory school education.								
8.1	Dates of birth on the records show that no employee is aged below the legal minimum age of employment or, if not specified in the GRASP National Interpretation Guideline, under the age of 15.		х						
8.2	If children—as core family members—are working at the company, they are not engaged in work that is dangerous to their health and safety (according to the applicable IFA All Farm Base Module), that -jeopardizes their development or prevents them from finishing their compulsory school education.				x				
COMF	PLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 8: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)		Fu	ully compli	iant				
Evide	nce/Remarks: OK, no minors employed								
Corre	ctive Actions: None								

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE		
			Y	N	N/A
ACCES	SS TO COMPULSORY SCHOOL EDUCATION				
9	CP: Do the children of employees living on the company's production/handling sites have access to compulsory school edu	cation?			
	CC: There is documented evidence that children of employees at compulsory schooling age (according to national legislation) living on the company's production/handling sites have access to compulsory school education, either through provided transport to a public school or through on-site schooling.				
9.1	There is a list of all children in the age of compulsory schooling age living on the company's production/handling sites, with sufficient indications on name, name of parents, date of birth, school attendance, etc. Children of management may be excluded.				х
9.2	There is evidence of transport facilities if children cannot reach school within acceptable walking distance (half an hour walking or according to the GRASP National Interpretation Guideline).				Х
9.3	There is evidence of an on-site schooling system when access to schools is not available.				Х
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 9: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)		Not applicable			
Evidence/Remarks: Public schools					
Corrective Actions: None					

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE			
			Y	N	N/A	
TIME F	IME RECORDING SYSTEM					
10	CP: Is there a time recording system that shows daily working time and overtime on a daily basis for the employees?					
	CC: There is a time recording system implemented appropriate to the size of the company that makes working hours and or daily basis. Working times of the employees during the last 24 months are documented. Records are regularly approved by representative(s).				on a	
10.1	A time recording system is implemented, appropriate to the size of the company (e.g. time record sheet, check clock, electronic cards, etc.).		Х			
10.2	The records indicate the regular working time for employees on a daily basis.		Х			
10.3	The records indicate the overtime hours as defined by contracts per legislation for all employees on a daily basis.		Х			
10.4	The records indicate the breaks/festive days for the employees (on a daily basis).		Х			
10.5	The working records are regularly approved by the employees (e.g. regularly signed record sheet, checking clock).		Х			
10.6	Access to these records is provided to the employees' representative(s).				Х	
10.7	The records are kept for at least 24 months.		Х			
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 10: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)			Fully compliant			
Evidence/Remarks: Employees clock in and out, accept time registration every week.						
Corrective Actions: None						

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE		
			Υ	N	N/A
WORK	KING HOURS & BREAKS				
11	CP: Do working hours and breaks documented in the time records comply with applicable legislation and/or collective barga	aining agreements?			
	CC: Documented working hours, breaks and rest days are in line with applicable legislation and/or collective bargaining agrindicate that regular weekly working hours do not exceed a maximum of 48 hours. During peak season (harvest), weekly we breaks/days are also guaranteed during peak season.				
11.1	Information on valid labor regulation and/or collective bargaining agreements regarding working hours and breaks is available (e.g. in the GRASP National Interpretation Guideline).		Х		
11.2	Working hours including overtime as shown in the records indicate compliance with legal regulations and/or collective bargaining agreements.		Х		
11.3	Rest breaks/days as shown in the records indicate compliance with national regulations and/or bargaining agreements.		Х		
11.4	If not regulated more strictly by applicable legislation, regular weekly working time does not exceed 48 hours. During peak season (harvest), weekly working time does not exceed 60 hours.		Х		
11.5	The records indicate that rest breaks/days are also guaranteed during peak season.		Х		
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 11: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)		Fully compliant			
Evidence/Remarks: Breaks 9.00, 12.00 and 14.00, respectively 20, 20 and 10 minutes					
Corrective Actions: None					

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR GOOD PRACTICE

production of tractors in Finland

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA			
ADDIT	ADDITIONAL SOCIAL BENEFITS			
R1	What other forms of social benefit does the company offer to employees, their families and/or the community? Please specify (incentives for good and safe working performance, bonus payment, support of professional development, social benefits, child care, improvement of social surroundings etc.).			
Evidence/Remarks: Christmas dinner, harvest party, coffee and tea available in canteen for free, once every month free lunch for all employees. Study visits to see supermarkets in Italy.				